

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,999	01/25/2001	David A. Seaman	36287-00701	5423
27171	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP 1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA			WEISBERGER	, RICHARD C
NEW YORK, NY 10005-1413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/769,999	SEAMAN, DAVID A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard C Weisberger	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	and .			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	su.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050315			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-11 and 14-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Statutory method claims require technology in the body of the claims. The technology must be claimed in a nontrivial manner. The instant claims lack this technology. The software code of claim 11 is nonstatutory as it is not embedded on a tangible medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The step of "offering an exchangeable security at the discounted price of the underlying security" is vague and indefinite. The method of discounting is vague and indefinite.

Also, the exchangeable security priced at the price of the discounted security is vague? How is this price determined. Also, in the claims, "calculating a payment amount" is vague. The pricing method should be expressly defined. Also, the scope of claim 11 is vague and indefinite as it is not clear how each of these steps are determined. Also, in the claims, calculating a linked payment is vague and indefinite. It is not clear how the payment is calculated or how it is linked.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by http://www.cme.com/edu

The claims read on conventional futures contracts and therefor read on the programmed trading and clearing systems employed in the futures industry. The reference teaches that the Chicago Mercantile Exchange launched its first stock index futures contract, the S&P 500® contract, in 1982. Inherent in this teaching are the trading systems, the clearing house mechanisms, the cash flow calculations, the timing options, and the monitoring of the claimed invention. That is, the examiner takes official notice that the trading systems, the clearing house mechanisms, the cash flow calculations, the timing options, and the monitoring of the claimed invention are inherent in the art of futures. Given their broadest reasonable interpretation, the exchangeable security products of the claimed invention read on futures contracts and these contracts and the trading thereof fully anticipate the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard C Weisberger whose telephone number is 571

Art Unit: 3624

272 6753. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vince Millin can be reached on 571 272 6747.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner Art Unit 3624

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-11 and 14-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Statutory method claims require technology in the body of the claims. The technology must be claimed in a nontrivial manner. The instant claims lack this technology. The software code of claim 11 is nonstatutory as it is not embedded on a tangible medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The step of "offering an exchangeable security at the discounted price of the underlying security" is vague and indefinite. The method of discounting is vague and indefinite.

Also, the exchangeable security priced at the price of the discounted security is vague? How is this price determined. Also, in the claims, "calculating a payment amount" is vague. The pricing method should be expressly defined. Also, the scope of claim 11 is vague and indefinite as it is not clear how each of these steps are determined. Also, in the claims, calculating a linked payment is vague and indefinite. It is not clear how the payment is calculated or how it is linked.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by http://www.cme.com/edu

The claims read on conventional futures contracts and therefor read on the programmed trading and clearing systems employed in the futures industry. The reference teaches that the Chicago Mercantile Exchange launched its first stock index futures contract, the S&P 500® contract, in 1982. Inherent in this teaching are the trading systems, the clearing house mechanisms, the cash flow calculations, the timing options, and the monitoring of the claimed invention. That is, the examiner takes official notice that the trading systems, the clearing house mechanisms, the cash flow calculations, the timing options, and the monitoring of the claimed invention are inherent in the art of futures. Given their broadest reasonable interpretation, the exchangeable security products of the claimed invention read on futures contracts and these contracts and the trading thereof fully anticipate the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C Weisberger whose telephone number is 571

Art Unit: 3624

272 6753. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vince Millin can be reached on 571 272 6747.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3624